Nowcasting and very short range forecasting of supercell thunderstorms in a weakly- or moderately sheared environment

Kálmán Csirmaz¹, André Simon¹, Georg Pistotnik³, Zoltán Polyánszky¹, Michal Neštiak⁴, Zsolt Nagykovácsi², Alois Sokol⁵

¹Hungarian Meteorological Service
²Hungarian Association of Stormchasers and Storm Damage Surveyors
³Central Institute for Meteorology and Geodynamics
⁴Slovak Hydrometeorological Institute
⁵Comenius University, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics
Supercells over Hungary

- Every year ~ dozens of supercells in our country
- Most of these develop in high CAPE and strong deep layer shear (well above 15 m/s)
- Several cases: supercells developed in weak or moderate deep layer shear (below 15 m/s) – multicells were expected, still supercells formed
- Questions:
  - what mechanisms lead to mesocyclogenesis in these cases
  - is there a simple conceptual model that can be applied to forecast these events successfully
Methodology

- Several cases were investigated extensively
- They were thoroughly documented by radar measurements (PPI, cross-sections, radial velocity) and observations by storm-chasers (reports and photos)
- Convective indices (various shear parameters, composite parameters etc.) calculated from global model forecasts (ECMWF) were examined
- Non-hydrostatic and high-resolution numerical model tests (WRF) were run to analyse the local properties of these storms – nested domain with 1 km resolution with direct cumulus scheme
- Vorticity analyses were performed on these model fields to clarify the mechanisms that lead to formation of supercells
Case study: 20. 06. 2010.

- At least one supercell formed in the central part of Hungary along a surface convergence line away from any frontal boundaries between 12 and 15 UTC
- One of our stormchasers documented the rotating wall cloud
- Hail was reported with diameter of 2-3 cm
Case study: 20. 06. 2010. – radar products
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Radial shear
Case study: 20. 06. 2010. - soundings

Considerable CAPE, but short hodograph between 6 km and the surface
Case study: 20. 06. 2010. - ECMWF analysis and forecast

- No significant shear and helicity (80-90 m2/s2 0-3 km SREH, 11-12 m/s DLS, 13-14 m/s hodograph length, 23-24 m2/s2 BRN shear, BRN is above 100) detected on large scale
Case study: 20. 06. 2010. - WRF simulation

- Run started at 06 UTC
- Initial and lateral boundary conditions from the 00 UTC ECMWF run
- Output frequency – 5 minutes
- The model predicted the cell almost in the right time and place
Case study: 20. 06. 2010. - Vorticity analysis of the cells

- **Simplified frictionless vorticity equation**

\[
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{v}_h \nabla_h \zeta - w \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial z} + \ddot{S} \times \nabla_h w \cdot \vec{k} - \zeta \text{div} \mathbf{v}_h
\]

- Advection
- Convection
- Tilting
- Stretching
Case study: 2010. 06. 20. - Horizontal vorticity analysis

- W: rel. vorticity
- tilting
- stretching
- advection
- convection

Vorticity isolines at every $1 \times 10^{-3}$ 1/s

Other isolines at every $5 \times 10^{-6}$ 1/s²

Solid lines: positive
Dashed line: negative
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Case study: 20. 06. 2010. - Horizontal vorticity analysis

W
rel. vorticity

tilting

stretching

advection

convection

Vorticity isolines at every $1 \times 10^{-3} \, 1/s$

Other isolines at every $5 \times 10^{-6} \, 1/s^2$

Solid lines: positive
Dashed line: negative
Case study: 20. 06. 2010. - Horizontal vorticity analysis
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Case study: Vorticity analysis - vertical profiles in the vortex core

11:15 UTC

11:20 UTC
Vorticity profile Vorticity terms profile Tilting terms profile Pre-storm Hodograph

The vortex develops at first at around 1500 m via tilting
Case study: Vorticity analysis - vertical profiles in the vortex core

11:45 UTC

11:50 UTC

Vorticity profile
Vorticity terms profile
Tilting terms profile
Hodograph

The vortex spreads abruptly upward to midlevels via tilting and convection

Black barbs: wind at 1250 m
Red barbs: wind at 1750 m
Case study: Vorticity analysis - vertical profiles in the vortex core

At lower levels (around and below 2000 m) mainly the locally increased wind shear, at midlevels (around 4000 m) the large vertical velocity in the vicinity of the storm enhances the tilting, hence deep mesocyclone develops.

Black barbs: wind at 1250 m
Red barbs: wind at 1750 m
Case study: Vorticity analysis - vertical profiles in the vortex core

12:40 UTC

12:45 UTC

Vorticity profile  Vorticity terms profile  Tilting terms profile  Hodograph

The supercell in its mature phase: the mesocyclone descends to the surface levels
Conclusions and future plans

- Supercells can develop in environment characterized by deep layer wind shear well below 15 m/s
- The tilting mechanism and hence the vortexgenesis can be enhanced by the local increase of vertical wind shear induced by the storm inflow
- The mesocyclogenesis can start at lower levels (below 2000 m) mainly via tilting and then spread to midlevels via convection and tilting

We are planning:
- To investigate other weakly or moderately sheared cases to generalize the results (other cases in progress)
- To run idealized WRF test with similar shear properties
- To examine cases with similar shear conditions when multicell storms developed
- To find a good predictor (parameter) in these „grey cases” which can discriminates between supercell and multicell storms
Thank you for your attention!
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