

*Herrero Bengoetxea, I., Ezcurra Talegón, A., Areitio Piedra, J.*

Concerning the first data base we can report that lightning activity was measured in 33 days of 122 days of campaign with a mean density of  $0.02 \text{ flashes km}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ . Hail was observed only in 9 days in which lightning mean density increased to a value of  $0.05 \text{ flashes km}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ . Analysis of this data base suggest that there exists a minimum CG flash density for hail to be possible equal to  $0.02 \text{ flashes km}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ . Moreover, in the four days when larger hail is reported (mean diameter  $\geq 30 \text{ mm}$ ) this minimum increases to  $0.04 \text{ flashes km}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ .

When considering the positive lightning, we notice that there are days with hail and no positive CG flashes, but severe thunderstorm days (having hail observations with diameters  $\geq 1.9$  cm.) always do have them, being the minimum percentage measured 4%. Also, in the 9 days when hail was reported in the area mean percentage of positive flashes is 8.6%, while for severe hailstorm days this mean reaches 12%.

Finally, when focusing on the local analysis, we found similar results. Data show that hail occurrence probability increases with CG lightning counts, and this probability reaches 20% at  $0.2 \text{ flashes km}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ . Concerning positive flashes, again, an increase in hail occurrence probability has been detected when the percentage of positive lightning increases. In particular, for windows that exhibit at least  $0.2 \text{ flashes km}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$  (in which average of positive lightning percentage is 8.2%), hail occurrence probability increases from 19% to 27% if percentage of positive flashes considered is under and above the average respectively.